

Barry N. Johnson (6255)
Jared L. Inouye (9776)
Daniel K. Brough (10283)
James C. Dunkelberger (13690)
BENNETT TUELLER JOHNSON & DEERE
3165 East Millrock Drive, Suite 500
Salt Lake City, Utah 84121
Telephone: (801) 438-2000
Facsimile: (801) 438-2050
Email: bjohnson@btjd.com, jinouye@btjd.com, dbrough@btjd.com, jdunkelberger@btjd.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
CENTRAL DIVISION**

ALPENTAL ENERGY PARTNERS, LLC,
a Utah limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

RCM INTERNATIONAL, LLC,

Defendant.

**RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE**

Case No. 2:12-cv-01060-DB

Judge Dee Benson

Plaintiff Alpentel Energy Partners, LLC (“Alpentel”), by and through counsel and pursuant to the Court’s April 4, 2016, Order to Show Cause, submits this statement informing the Court of the status of this case and Alpentel’s intention to proceed with it.

On May 1, 2013, this Court entered an order (the “Order”) staying this lawsuit pending the outcome of Case No. RG-12-640599, *RCM International, LLC v. Alpentel Energy Partners, LLC et al.* (the “California Lawsuit”), which is being litigated in the Superior Court for the State of California, Alameda Division (the “California Court”). Alpentel and Defendant RCM International, LLC (“RCM”) have honored the stay this Court imposed.

The California Lawsuit has been extensively litigated. RCM, as the plaintiff in the California Lawsuit, has added two new defendants: Blue Mountain Biogas, LLC (“BMB”) and AEPGEO No. 1, LLC (“AEPGEO” and, collectively with BMB and AEPGEO, the “California Defendants”). Several trial dates have been set, but each of them has been reset. The Court found that RCM improperly delayed in disclosing certain information relative to its claims, which prevented trial from proceeding in August 2015. Per order dated July 30, 2015, RCM’s fact and expert discovery deadlines were deemed already expired, but the California Defendants were permitted to conduct fact discovery until December 1, 2015, and disclose experts on December 15, 2015. Presently, trial is set to begin on August 29, 2016.

Alpental intends to proceed with this lawsuit. Through the course of discovery and based upon numerous factors, including RCM’s disclosures in the California Lawsuit, it is now plain that the California Lawsuit is not parallel to this lawsuit. Based upon those post-Order developments, Alpental intends to file a motion to lift the stay the Court imposed. In any event, following the conclusion of the California Lawsuit, there will still be substantial issues to be litigated as between Alpental and RCM in this lawsuit, and Alpental fully intends to litigate those issues to their conclusion.

Alpental therefore requests that the Court not dismiss this lawsuit, but to continue to honor its stay until such time as Alpental’s expected motion to lift the stay is granted or litigation regarding the California Lawsuit is otherwise complete.

DATED this 18th day of April, 2016.

BENNETT TUELLER JOHNSON & DEERE

/s/ Daniel K. Brough

Barry N. Johnson

Jared L. Inouye

Daniel K. Brough

James C. Dunkelberger

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 18th day of April, 2016, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** to be filed with the CM/ECF system, which caused the filed document to be served electronically upon the following:

Brinton M. Wilkins
KIRTON McCONKIE
50 East South Temple
P.O. Box 45120
Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0120

/s/ Daniel K. Brough